Advanced Algorithms (Fall 2026)

Extension Complexity of Polytopes

Lecturers: 尹一通，刘景铖，栗师

Nanjing University

Outline

1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Motivation

Typical Combinatorial Optimization Problem

Input: $[n]$: ground set

$\mathcal{S}$: feasible sets: a family of subsets of $[n]$, often implicitly given

$w_i, i \in [n]$: values/costs of elements

Output: the set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ with the minimum/maximum $w(S) := \sum_{i \in S} w_i$

$\mathcal{P} := \text{conv}(\{\chi^S : S \in \mathcal{S}\})$: convex hull of all valid solutions

LP to Solve Problem Exactly

$$\min / \max \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \quad \text{s.t.} \qquad x \in \mathcal{P}$$

- within its affine hull, the minimum number of inequalities describing $\mathcal{P}$ is facets$(\mathcal{P})$:= the number of facets of $\mathcal{P}$

Q: Can we do better?

A: Yes in some cases, by introducing new variables that we call auxiliary variables.

Def. An extension of a polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a polyhedron $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+r}$ for some $r \geq 0$, such that $\mathcal{P}$ is the projection of $\mathcal{Q}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^r, (x, y) \in \mathcal{Q} \right\}$$

LP to Solve Problem Exactly with Auxiliary Variables

$$\min / \max \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i \quad \text{s.t.} \qquad (x, y) \in \mathcal{Q},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{P}$.

- To require $(x, y) \in \mathcal{Q}$, the number of inequalities we need is $\text{facets}(\mathcal{Q})$
- It may be possible that $\text{facets}(\mathcal{Q}) \ll \text{facets}(\mathcal{P})$

Def. The extension complexity of a polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted as $\text{xc}(\mathcal{P})$, is defined as follows:

$$\text{xc}(\mathcal{P}) := \min\{\text{facets}(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{Q} \text{ is an extension of } \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Def. An extended formulation of a polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of linear constraints:

$$(E, F)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = g$$
$$y \geq 0$$

where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}, F \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times r}, g \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are given, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of main variables, $y \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is the vector of auxiliary variables. The following property needs to be satisfied:

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists y \geq 0, (E, F)\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = g \right\}.$$

The complexity of the extended formulation is defined as r.

Def. (An alternative definition) The extension complexity of a polytope $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, denoted as $\text{xc}(\mathcal{P})$, is defined as the minimum complexity of an extended formulation of $\mathcal{P}$.

The Equivalence Between the Two Definitions

- $\mathrm{xc}_1(\mathcal{P}), \mathrm{xc}_2(\mathcal{P})$: $\mathrm{xc}(\mathcal{P})$ according to the first/second definition

$\mathrm{xc}_2(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mathrm{xc}_1(\mathcal{P})$

- Given an extension $\mathcal{Q}$ of $\mathcal{P}$, we can use facets($\mathcal{Q}$) inequalities (and some equalities, if the dimension of $\mathcal{Q}$ is smaller than the dimension of its host space) to describe $\mathcal{Q}$, one for each facet.
- For the i-th inequality $a_i x \geq b_i$, we introduce a variable y_i, and replace the inequality by $y_i = a_i x - b_i, y_i \geq 0$.
- This gives an extended formulation of $\mathcal{P}$ with facets($\mathcal{Q}$) y-variables.
- Remark: there might be some auxiliary variables with no non-negativity constraints; but they can be removed.

$\mathrm{xc}_1(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mathrm{xc}_2(\mathcal{P})$

- An extended formulation with m y-variables defines a polyhedron with at most m facets.

Outline

1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Example: Permutation Polytope

- $\mathcal{S} := \left\{x \in [n]^{[n]} : x \text{ is a permutation of } [n]\right\}$
- $\mathcal{P} := \text{conv}(\mathcal{S})$
- note: $\mathcal{P}$ has dimension $n-1$, as $\sum_{i\in[n]} x_i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ is valid.

Lemma For any $S \subsetneq [n], S \neq \emptyset$, $\sum_{i\in S} x_i \geq \frac{|S|(|S|+1)}{2}$ is a facet of $\mathcal{P}$.

- so, $\text{facets}(\mathcal{P}) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$

Proof Sketch.

- The constraint $\sum_{i\in S} x_i \geq \frac{|S|(|S|+1)}{2}$ gives a face
- To show it's a facet, need to prove its dimension is $n-2$
- We can find $x^0, x^1, \cdots, x^{n-2}$ on the face such that $x^1 - x^0, x^2 - x^0, \cdots, x^{n-2} - x^0$ are linearly independent. □

Representation using Permutation Matrices

- Represent a permutation $x \in [n]^{[n]}$ by the permutation matrix $M \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ so that $M_{ij} = 1$ iff $x_i = j$.

$$\text{Example :} \qquad (3,1,2) \iff \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Crucial property: x is a linear function of entries in M
- $\mathcal{P}' := \text{conv}\big(\{M : M \text{ is a permutation matrix}\}\big)$

Lemma $\mathcal{P}' = \left\{y \in [0,1]^{n \times n} : \sum_i y_{i,j} = 1, \forall j; \sum_j y_{i,j} = 1, \forall i\right\}$.

Proof.

- permutation $\iff$ perfect matching in complete bipartite graph over $2n$ vertices
- permutation matrix polytope $\iff$ perfect matching polytope

□

Extended Formulation of $\mathcal{P}$

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} y_{i,j} = 1 \qquad \forall j \in [n]$$

$$\sum_{j \in [n]} y_{i,j} = 1 \qquad \forall i \in [n]$$

$$y_{ij} \geq 0 \qquad \forall i, j \in [n]$$


$$x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} j \cdot y_{ij} \qquad \forall i \in [n]$$

Lemma The permutation polytope $\mathcal{P}$ has extension complexity $O(n^2)$.

Outline


1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Def. The non-negative rank of a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m \times n}$ is the minimum $r \geq 0$ such that there are matrices $L \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m \times r}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{r \times n}$ such that $M = LR$. We use $\text{rank}_+(M)$ to denote the non-negative rank of M.



- if we allow $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$, then the non-negative rank becomes the rank
- the rank of a matrix can be computed efficiently
- it is NP-hard to compute the non-negative rank of a matrix

Application of Non-Negative Rank




Def. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined as

$$\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b; Ex = f\},$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m' \times n}$, $f \in \mathbb{R}^{m'}$. Assume the equations $Ex = f$ are linearly independent, and there is a 1-1 correspondence between inequalities in $Ax \leq b$ and facets of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^v$ be all the vertices of $\mathcal{P}$. The slack matrix $\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ w.r.t this description is a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times v}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}_{i,j} = b_i - a_i x^j, \quad \text{where } a_i \text{ is the } i\text{-th row vector of } A.$$



Slack Matrix Theorem

Theorem [Yannakakis 91] For any polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we have $\mathrm{xc}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathrm{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$.

Notes

- Considering non-vertex points in $\mathcal{P}$ for the columns of $\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}$ does not increase its non-negative rank
- Considering non-facet faces of $\mathcal{P}$ for rows of $\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}$ does not increase its non-negative rank

Theorem [Yannakakis 91] For any polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we have $\mathsf{xc}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathsf{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof of $\mathsf{xc}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mathsf{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$.

- Given non-negative decomposition $\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}} = FV$ with $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}_{\geq 0}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times v}_{\geq 0}$
- we show the following is an extended formulation of $\mathcal{P}$ with complexity r:

$$\mathcal{P}' = \{x : Ex = f,\ \exists y \geq 0,\ Ax + Fy = b\}$$

- if $x \in \mathcal{P}'$, then $Ax \leq b$ and $Ex = f$ $\qquad \mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$
- fix vertex x^j: $b - Ax^j$ is the j-th column of $\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}$
- it is a non-negative combination of columns of F
- so, $\exists y \geq 0$ with $b - Ax^j = Fy$ $\qquad \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}'$ $\square$

Slack Matrix Theorem

Proof of $\mathrm{xc}(\mathcal{P}) \geq \mathrm{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$

- Write the extension as $Ex + Fy = g$, $y \geq 0$, with N equations and r auxiliary variables; x is free.
- For facet i, maximize $a_i x$ over this extension. Its optimum is b_i, because the facet is tight on $\mathcal{P}$.
- Strong duality gives $\mu^i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with

$$\mu^i E = a_i, \qquad \mu^i F \geq 0, \qquad \mu^i g = b_i.$$

- Put $\nu^i = \mu^i F$. For a feasible lift y^j of vertex x^j,

$$b_i - a_i x^j = \mu^i (g - E x^j) = \nu^i y^j.$$

Slack Matrix Theorem

Theorem [Yannakakis 91] For any polytope $\mathcal{P}$, we have $\text{xc}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof of $\text{xc}(\mathcal{P}) \geq \text{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}})$.

- $b_i - a_i x^j = \nu^i y^j$
- Then,

$$\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^1 \\ \nu^2 \\ \vdots \\ \nu^m \end{pmatrix} (y^1, y^2, \cdots, y^v)$$

- This is a nonnegative factorization of inner dimension r, so $\text{rank}_+(\mathbf{SM}^{\mathcal{P}}) \leq r$. □

Outline

1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) Polytope

- Given the complete graph $G = (V, \binom{V}{2})$
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{TSP}} := \text{conv}(\{\chi^S : S \subseteq \binom{V}{2} \text{ is a TSP tour of } V\})$

Cut Polytope

- $G = (V, E) = K_n$: the complete graph
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{cut}} := \text{conv}\left(\left\{\chi^{E(S, V \setminus S)} : S \subseteq V\right\}\right)$

Correlation Polytope

- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{corr}} = \text{conv}\left(\{bb^{\text{T}} : b \in \{0,1\}^n\}\right)$.

- [Samuel Fiorini, Serge Massar, Sebastian Pokutta, Hans Raj Tiwary and Ronald de Wolf]: "Exponential Lower Bounds for Polytopes in Combinatorial Optimization": The TSP, cut, and correlation polytope families have exponential extension complexity.
- 2023 Godel Prize Winner Paper

General Matching Polytope

- Given a graph $G = (V, E)$
- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GM}} := \mathrm{conv}\left(\left\{\chi^M : M \subseteq E \text{ is a matching in } G\right\}\right)$

Theorem (General Matching Polytope Theorem) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GM}}$ is the set of vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$ satisfying the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x_e &\leq 1 && \forall v \in V \\ \sum_{e \in E(S)} x_e &\leq \frac{|S| - 1}{2} && \forall S \subseteq V, |S| \text{ is odd} \\ x_e &\geq 0 && \forall e \in E \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- [Rothvoss 2017]: "The Matching Polytope has Exponential Extension Complexity." 2023 Godel Prize Winner Paper

Outline

1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Outline

1. Extended Formulations
2. The Permutation Polytope
3. Nonnegative Rank and Slack Matrices
4. Lower Bounds on Extension Complexity
5. Optional Material
   - The Spanning-Tree Polytope

Spanning Tree Polytope

We use the following theorem (stated here without proof):

Spanning Tree Polytope

- Given a connected graph $G = (V, E)$, $n := |V|$
- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ST}} := \mathrm{conv}\left(\left\{\chi^T : T \subseteq E \text{ is a spanning tree of } G\right\}\right)$

Theorem (Spanning Tree Polytope Theorem) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ is the set of vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$ satisfying the following inequalities:

$$\sum_{e \in E} x_e = n - 1$$

$$\sum_{e \in E[S]} x_e \leq |S| - 1 \qquad \forall S \subseteq V, 2 \leq |S| \leq n - 1 \qquad (*)$$

$$x_e \geq 0 \qquad \forall e \in E$$

- Choose a root $r \in V$ arbitrarily.
- For any spanning tree, we direct the edges from r to leaves: the tree becomes an out-arborescence rooted at r
- $y_{u \to v}$: whether (u, v) is a directed edge in the arborescence.

$$\sum_{(u,v) \in E} y_{u \to v} = 1 \qquad \forall v \in V \setminus \{r\}$$

$$y_{v \to r} = 0 \qquad \forall (v, r) \in E$$

$$y_{u \to v} \geq 0 \qquad \forall u, v \text{ with } (u, v) \in E$$

$$x_{\{u,v\}} = y_{u \to v} + y_{v \to u} \qquad \forall (u, v) \in E$$

y supports 1 unit flow from r to v $\qquad \forall v \in V \setminus \{r\} \qquad (\dagger)$

- $(\dagger)$ for every v can be captured using a maximum-flow LP, with $O(|E|)$ variables and constraints.

Theorem The formulation is an extended formulation of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ST}}$.

Proof.

- For any ST T of G, χ^T (with extension) is a valid solution
- Remaining goal: prove that every valid (x, y) satisfies:

$$\sum_{e \in E} x_e = n - 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{e \in E[S]} x_e \leq |S| - 1 \qquad \forall S \subseteq V, 2 \leq |S| \leq n - 1 \tag{3}$$

- every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$ has 1 fractional incoming edge
- $\implies$ total fractional number of edges is $n - 1$ $\implies$ (1)

Theorem The formulation is an extended formulation of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ST}}$.

Proof.

- Remaining goal: prove that every valid (x, y) satisfies:

$$\sum_{e \in E[S]} x_e \leq |S| - 1 \qquad \forall S \subseteq V, 2 \leq |S| \leq n-1 \tag{2}$$

- Focus on $S \ni r$: $|S| - 1$ fractional edges with head in S
- Focus on $S \not\ni r, |S| \geq 2$. Let $v \in S$ be arbitrary.
- y supports 1 unit $r \to v$ flow
  $\implies \geq 1$ fractional edge from $V \setminus S$ to S
  $\implies$ at most $|S| - 1$ fractional edges inside S □

- When G is complete graph, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ has $O(n^3)$ extension complexity
- The lower bound is $\Omega(n^2)$
- Big open problem to close the gap.